Cobb Comprehensive Transportation Plan Update



Jill Flamm, East Cobb Civic Association

Stephen Byrne, Mauldin & Jenkins

Jack Kennedy, Cobb Public Health Steve Covert, GA Tea Party

Richard Crim, Dobbins ARB

Karl Van Hagel, Cobb DOT

Stakeholder and Technical Committee Meeting-SUMMARY

November 20, 2014 5pm to 7pm Cobb County DOT Squad Room 1890 County Services Parkway Marietta, GA 30008

Attendees:

David Welden, Protect Cobb Galt Porter, District 4 Planning Commission

Julia Billings, GDOT Planning Kim Paris, WellStar

Ian Samson, PEDS

Project Team Staff Faye DiMassimo, Cobb County DOT Tim Preece, ARCADIS

Ron Sifen Challa Bonja, City of Marietta

Galt Porter, Cobb Planning Commission Eric Randall, City of Smyrna Kaycee Martz, GDOT Planning Brantley Day, Cumberland CID

Eric Meyer, Cobb County DOT

Marty Sewell, Cobb County DOT Haley Berry, ARCADIS Julie Price, ARCADIS

The meeting began with a 30 minute open house. Attendees were asked to review the project maps and boards.

Welcome and Introductions

Tim Preece with ARCADIS opened the meeting.

Status Update

Mr. Preece gave a quick status update on CTP tasks. The project team is nearing the end of the CTP process. The short term project list was finalized over the summer while the mid and long term projects have been evaluated and prioritized based on how well they meet the guiding principles. A public meeting has been scheduled for December 4th to review and provide feedback on the draft project recommendations. The county will be seeking adoption of the plan in January 2015.

Presentation

Mr. Preece reviewed the Guiding Principles that were developed early on in the CTP process to guide the development and prioritization of projects. The guiding principles include: Maximize performance of the transportation system; improve access and manage traffic congestion; achieve traveler safety and security, drive economic competitiveness; lead with cost-effective solutions.

Projects were identified through various sources including the needs assessment analysis, completed studies and plan, traffic and crash data, community input, and the travel demand model. Several scenarios were tested and the projects were evaluated against performance measures to see how well projects met

Cobb Comprehensive Transportation Plan Update

the guiding principles. Based on their scores with the performance measures, the projects were then prioritized, resulting in a financially constrained prioritized CTP project list.



Three scenarios were run: Base Scenario; Status Quo Scenario, and Innovation Scenario. The Base Scenario consisted of 2040 existing transportation infrastructure and committed projects in the FY 2014-2019 TIP. The Status Quo Scenario included all projects in the Base Scenario plus roadway capacity and transit projects in the 2008 Cobb CTP and PLAN 2040 TIP/RTP/Aspirations projects lists. The Status Quo Scenario costs \$4.1 billion, results in slight improvement to current congestion levels while accommodating growth. Under the Status Quo Scenario, over time there is a decrease in access to jobs. The Innovation Scenario included all projects in the Base Scenario plus roadway and transit projects focused on economic development and innovation in key destinations and along major thoroughfares. For the Innovation Scenario, Status Quo Scenario projects were modified to include other projects and/or remove projects. The Innovation Scenario costs \$3.6 billion and keeps pace with current levels of congestion while accommodating growth. Over time, there is a slight improvement to in access to jobs via transit under the Innovation Scenario.

The project team considered scenario-level performance measures, roadway performance measures, and transit performance measures to evaluate the projects. Measures included congested speed, congestion costs, total transit trips, accessibility, key destinations and projects, V/C ratio, vehicle hours of delay, annual cost per user, daily boardings, and population and employment within ½ mile of a transit route, to name few.

Outcomes included innovative cost effective solutions such as targeted intersection grade-separations instead of lengthy road widening projects. Further expansion of CCT service in certain areas, such as Connect Cobb, Austell Road and certain portions of south Cobb, Kennesaw, and Acworth to Town Center and Marietta, will double transit use and provide access to jobs and activity centers. Prioritizing specific trail and sidewalk projects in the short term is supportive of travel and tourism in the county and will help drive economic competitiveness.

The short term plan includes the highest priority projects, consists of the first 5 years of the plan, and includes the 2016 SPLOST, just approved in November by Cobb County voters, costs an estimated \$1.2 billion with an estimated \$650 of that as Cobb County's portion. The mid-term projects are considered for years 5-10 of the Plan and are needed for current and future growth, totaling \$1.1 billion with an estimated \$416 million attributed as Cobb County's portion. The long term projects are considered 10 years or greater out in the future, are needed for future travel needs, and would take substantial time to construct. It totals \$3.0 billion with an estimated \$488 million as the county's portion.

Next steps include the public meeting on December 4, 2014 and finalizing the documentation and reports of the CTP. The County Commission and respective city councils will consider the CTP for approval in January 2015.

Discussion

Below is a summary of the discussion and comments received during the presentation:

- Include the assumed population and employment growth assumed for each future scenario.
- What did the CTP do to reflect the Braves? The underlying land use has not changed. It was always assumed that area would be developed at a high-intensity. The impact of the Braves is handled at that local area, not system wide as the CTP is handled.
- Connect Cobb doubles ridership levels- what are those levels? From what ridership level to what new level?
- Status Quo and Innovation Scenarios are need-based documents, they are not financially constrained.
- Attach the \$ amounts in the legends of the maps for each category.

Cobb Comprehensive Transportation Plan Update

 Include a board with currently funded projects on it and share information on the "What's in it for me?" app.



Comment Form

Attached.



1.	Overall, do you agree with or disagree with the project list and its priorities? Circle one.
Agree	Somewhat Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree
2.	What projects do you see that should be given a higher priority? Public TRANSPORTATION PEDESTRIAN ACCESS gradules @ infersections
3.	What projects do you see that should be given a lower priority? They are interactive — so difficult to do one who she of her
4.	Are there other programs and policies that should be recommended? (private mass transportation - some countries have mass trans + systems - that could help to link major hubs over fee
	Are there any projects that you think are missing from the project list? not that I would give higher propriety but curious how Marieffair dense population will make more effective use of Cobb Phay corn der (would also like to see incentives for seniors of getting the Are there any changes you would make to the materials for the general public meeting proof
22 	



1.	Overall, do you agree with or disagree with the project list and its priorities? Circle one.
Agree	Somewhat Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree
2.	What projects do you see that should be given a higher priority?
3.	What projects do you see that should be given a lower priority?
4.	Are there other programs and policies that should be recommended?
5.	Are there any projects that you think are missing from the project list? Transportation from East Cobb to
-5	Sontrust Park
6.	Are there any changes you would make to the materials for the general public meeting on December 4 th ?



1. Overall, do you agree with or disagree with the project list and its priorities? Circle one.
Agree Somewhat Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree
2. What projects do you see that should be given a higher priority? GRAVE SEPH - INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS. LOCAL TRANSIT ROUTES - BUSES.
3. What projects do you see that should be given a lower priority? BILT COMPLETE STOREST TRAILS & BIKE PATRE
4. Are there other programs and policies that should be recommended? TOURK EAUCATION PROGRAMS
5. Are there any projects that you think are missing from the project list?
6. Are there any changes you would make to the materials for the general public meeting on December 4th? Breek \$3.68 INTO SUMMARY CENER CATEGORIES
PROVIDE CUTURENTLY FUNDER PROJECTS MORE/LITTLE



1. Overall, do you agree with or disagree with the project list and its priorities? Circle one.

gree	Somewhat Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree
2.	What projects do you see that should be given a higher priority? TRAFFIC LIGHT COORDINATION TRAFFIC LIGHT COORDI
	What projects do you see that should be given a lower priority?
	PRIVITIZE TRANSIT SERVICE - EZ MEEABUS, GROOME,
4.	Are there other programs and policies that should be recommended? NONE THAT I CAN THINK OF
5.	Are there any projects that you think are missing from the project list?
6.	Are there any changes you would make to the materials for the general public meeting on December 4 th ?



1.	Overall, do you agree with or disagree with the project list and its priorities? Circle one.
Agree	Somewhat Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree
2.	What projects do you see that should be given a higher priority?
	Sidenally mediens Bonssings on transit nowter. Complete Streets pricets. Cobb Plany BRT.
-	Spring for sets.
_	Cops I fang 15 K 1.
3.	What projects do you see that should be given a lower priority?
-	Road expansion projects - will repatively impact
Supe	at the property of the state of the court of
	Are there other programs and policies that should be recommended? A complete Sinear foliage is a great loca.
	A complete Sivets policy is a great laca.
5.	A complete Sivets policy is a great loca.
5.	Are there any projects that you think are missing from the project list? Are there any changes you would make to the materials for the general public meeting



1. Overall, do you agree with or disagree with the project list and its priorities? Circle one.

gree FOBL TULY JITH 2.	Somewhat Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree 3 CONNECT BRT. HAS CONSIDERABLE COMMUNITY OFFOSITION + ON 22 THREE COMMISSIONERS VOTED TO NOT FOND THIS PROSECT SPLOST: IT MAY BE THAT A MAJERITY OF COMMISSIONERS MAY What projects do you see that should be given a higher priority? NOT SUPPORT THIS PROSECT
	MORE CCT ROUTES THAT SKIP LOW DEMAND STORS
3.	What projects do you see that should be given a lower priority?
4.	Are there other programs and policies that should be recommended?
5.	Are there any projects that you think are missing from the project list?
6.	Are there any changes you would make to the materials for the general public meeting on December 4 th ?
C	STERISK FOR COBB CONNECT AND ASK THE FOARD OF OMMISSIONERS EXPLICITLY IF THEY WANT TO INCLUDE THIS PROJECT OR PURSUE LESS EXPENSIVE ALTERNATIVES



1.	Overall, do you agree with or disagree with the project list and its priorities? Circle one.
Agree	Somewhat Agree Neutral Somewhat Disagree Disagree
The 1500 Faci 15 gan	What projects do you see that should be given a higher priority? Lareas south of I-20 given that FedEx will be putted. Demployees at the intersection of two two-lune roads: thory should needs to be undered to 5 lanes. Additional there a 600,000 t wavehouse going in an white Road which should be several hundred jobs. Also Windy Hill undering show what projects do you see that should be given a lower priority? be moved up.
4.	Are there other programs and policies that should be recommended?
5.	Are there any projects that you think are missing from the project list? Ildening Factory Shouls south of I-20. Interchange improvements to I-20, possibly an interchange of I-20 and I-20 a
6.	Are there any changes you would make to the materials for the general public meeting on December 4 th ?
	Also include projects that are already funded, but not burit.



1. Overall, do you agree with or disagree with the project list and its priorities? Circle one. Agree Somewhat Agree Somewhat Disagree Neutral Disagree 2. What projects do you see that should be given a higher priority? 3. What projects do you see that should be given a lower priority? rolled in my opinion. From 4. Are there other programs and policies that should be recommended? 5. Are there any projects that you think are missing from the project list? 6. Are there any changes you would make to the materials for the general public meeting on December 4th?